UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/599,230	09/22/2006	Karl Asperger	071308.0761	6068
86528 King & Spaldin	7590 04/27/201 lg LLP	EXAMINER		
401 Congress A Suite 3200	venue	LEE, JASON T		
Austin, TX 787	01	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2438	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/27/2010	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

AustinUSPTO@kslaw.com AustinIP@kslaw.com

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/599,230	ASPERGER ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
JASON LEE	2438		

	UNICON LEL	2400	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence addi	ess
THE REPLY FILED <u>14 April 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appelor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Coperiods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	g date of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire a Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejectio	n.
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The approprianally set in the final Office	te extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS	itimi the time period set forth in or	Of 10 4 1.07 (a).	
3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b	out prior to the date of filing a brief.	will not be entered bed	cause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further con			
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	w);	•	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially red	ducing or simplifying th	e issues for
(d) $igsqcup$ They present additional claims without canceling a $\mathfrak c$	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
4. $oxedsymbol{oxed}$ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (F	PTOL-324).
5. 🔲 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>			
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> . Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-20</u> .		l be entered and an ex	planation of
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>none</u> . AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	t before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affidavi	otice of Appeal will <u>not</u> it or other evidence is	be entered necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fails	to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attache	ed.
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered bu see continuation sheet.</li> </ol>	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowand	ce because:
12. 🛮 Note the attached Information <i>Discl</i> os <i>ure Statement</i> (s). (	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). <u>4/13/201</u>	<u>10</u>	
13.  Other:			
/Taghi T. Arani/			
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2438			

Continuation of section 3:

The Applicant has amended claims 1 and 20 filed on 4/14/10. The amentments will not be entered because they require new consideration and/or search.

For example, For independent claims 1 and 20, Applicant's amendment for the security sensor system changes the scope of the invention and thus would require further search and consideration. Therefore, the amendment will not be entered.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Applicant amended claims 1 and 20. The amended claims 1 and 20 changes the security sensor system in the integrated circuit. For example, the "beaking of electrical line" is a new condition, therefore require further search and consideration. For at least the reason outlined above, the examiner believes that the application is not in condition for allowance.